Case 5:02-cv-05772-JF Document 92 Filed 10/27/05 Page 1 of 3

E-filed 10/27/05

		""E-filed 10/27/05""
1	Kenneth R. Adamo James L. Wamsley III	
2	JONES DAY	
3	North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue	
٦	Cleveland, Ohio 44114	
4	kradamo@jonesday.com	
5	jlwamsleyiii@jonesday.com Telephone: (216) 586-3939	
	Facsimile: (216) 579-0212	
6	Tharan Gregory Lanier, State Bar No. 13878	84
7	JONES DAY 2882 Sand Hill Road, Suite 240	
8	Menlo Park, California 94025	
9	tglanier@jonesday.com Telephone: (650) 739-3939	
9	Facsimile: (650) 739-3939	
10	Attamage for Defendant and Counterplains	
11	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaima MOSAID Technologies Incorporated	nı
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	SAN J	OSE DIVISION
15		
16	INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES NORTH AMERICA CORP.,	Civil Action No. 5:02-cv-05772 JF (RS)
١7	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
18	Tidiitiii,	GRANTING MOSAID TECHNOLOGIES
	v.	INC.'S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF FINAL
19	MOSAID TECHNOLOGIES	JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO RULE 54(b) AND STAYING REMAINING ISSUES IN
20	INCORPORATED,	CASE
21	,	
	Defendant,	
22		
23	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.	
24		
25	The parties, having fully briefed MO	SAID Technologies, Inc.'s Motion for FED. R.
26	CIV. P. 54(b) Certification of Order Granting Infineon Technologies North America Corp., et al.'	
27	Motion for Summary Judgment, and having discussed the issues, now hereby stipulate as follows	
28		

Case 5:02-cv-05772-JF Document 92 Filed 10/27/05 Page 2 of 3

1	THAT the United States District Court	for the District of New Jersey's Order granting	
2	Infineon Technologies North America Corp., e	t al.'s Motion for Summary Judgment on	
3	MOSAID's infringement claims related to Unit	ted States Patents Nos. 5,822,253 (the "'253	
4	patent"), 5,751,643 (the "'643 patent"), 6,278,6	540 (the "'640 patent"), 5,828,620 (the "'620	
5	patent"), 6,055,201 (the "'201 patent"), and 6,580,654 (the "'654 patent"), entered by the New		
6	Jersey Court on April 1, 2005 (hereafter "the Summary Judgment Order") constitutes a "final		
7	judgment" as to one or more but fewer than all of the claims in this action (specifically, the six		
8	claims for relief contained in Counts II through VI and X of MOSAID's Third Amended Answer		
9	and Counterclaims);		
10	THAT an immediate appeal, accompanied by a stay of the remaining claims, will		
11	conserve the resources of both this Court and the litigants;		
12	THAT the six claims for relief covered by the Summary Judgment Order and Proposed		
13	Stipulation are separable from the claims remaining to be adjudicated in this Court;		
14	THAT the equities do not counsel against an immediate appeal of the six claims for relief		
15	listed above; and		
16	THAT, in light of the foregoing findings, there is no just reason for delaying the entry of		
17	final judgment as to those six claims for relief.		
18	Dated: October 25, 2005	CIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP	
19	E	By: /s/ Paul A. Bondor	
20		Paul A. Bondor	
21		Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendants NFINEON TECHNOLOGIES NORTH	
22	A A	AMERICA CORP., INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES AG, INFINEON TECHNOLOGIES HOLDING	
23		NORTH AMERICA INC., and INFINEON ECHNOLOGIES RICHMOND, LP	
24	Dated: October 25, 2005	ONES DAY	
25	E	By: /s/ Tharan Gregory Lanier	
26		Tharan Gregory Lanier	
27	H .	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant MOSAID TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED	
28		CTIDLIL A TION AND INDODOSCO OF COMPANY	

Case 5:02-cv-05772-JF Document 92 Filed 10/27/05 Page 3 of 3

1	ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:		
2	Based on the stipulation of the parties and having considered MOSAID Technologies,		
3	Inc.'s Motion, the Court makes the express findings above and orders that: MOSAID		
4	Technologies, Inc.'s Motion be GRANTED; the Clerk should immediately enter final judgment		
5	on Counts II, III, IV, V, VI, and X of MOSAID's Third Amended Answer and Counterclaims		
6	("Final Judgment Claims"); and all proceedings as to all remaining claims in this action are		
7	stayed pending remand or other disposition of any appeal regarding the Final Judgment Claims,		
8	or further order of the Court.		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
10			
11	Dated:10/27/05 /s/electronic signature authorized		
12	HONORABLE JEREMY FOGEL UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	STIPLU ATION AND IPPOPOSED) ORDER OR ANTING		